

## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/674,876

**Applicant(s)**

WHITE ET AL.

**Examiner**

MISOOK YU, Ph.D.

**Art Unit**

1642

**All Participants:**(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.(2) Yvonne Eyster.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(3) Katherine Rigaut.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2004**Time:** 3:20 PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

**Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  
LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)